Case Study

by Barry Sacks, Ph.D., JD, and Mary Jo Lafaye

Jim & John - The Tale of Two HECM's

This case study compares the fortunes of two retirees who start retirement with identical securities portfolios, receive identical amounts of retirement income throughout a 30-year retirement and live in identical homes. The study illustrates how a securities portfolio (such as a 401(k) account or a rollover IRA) that provides retirement income can be substantially helped by a reverse mortgage credit line. More specifically, when the credit line is used in coordination with the portfolio, instead of as a last resort, it prolongs the life of the portfolio and greatly increases the net worth (and the legacy) of the retiree.

In this case study, "John" has used the "last resort" strategy; he has exhausted his portfolio in his 24th year of a 30-year retirement and has built up a debt of nearly \$539,000 against his home by the end of that 30-year retirement. By contrast, "Jim" has used the coordinated strategy; he has a portfolio with more than \$1 million at the end of a 30-year retirement and a debt of about \$692,000 against his home. Thus at the end of the 30-year retirement, Jim has a net worth that is more than \$900,000 greater than John has, even though both retirees started in identical financial situations and received identical amounts of retirement income.

The coordinated strategy is very simple: In each year directly following a year of negative investment returns in the portfolio, the portfolio is not drawn upon. Instead, the reverse mortgage credit line is drawn upon for the retiree's income. In this strategy, the reverse mortgage credit line is used to offset the "adverse sequence of returns."

The investment returns used in the study are those of a real balanced portfolio, specified in widely distributed publicity materials by a nationally known investment management and financial planning firm. The constant purchasing power draw rate is, at the outset, 5.5% of the initial portfolio value. (The assumed inflation rate is a constant 3.5%.) The 5.5% draw rate is well above the so-called "4% rule;" therefore, without the reverse mortgage credit line, there was a greater than 40% likelihood that the portfolio would be exhausted in 30 years, and approximately a 30% likelihood that the portfolio would be exhausted in 25 years.

Although this case study is only one example, we can produce (and have produced) thousands of other examples, using Monte Carlo simulation. In the overwhelming majority of the examples produced by the simulation, the results are similar to those of this case study. That is, the coordinated strategy results in a far greater probability of cash flow survival throughout a 30-year retirement, and a high probability of a far greater net worth (or legacy) at the end of that retirement, than does the "last resort" strategy.

The numbers assume the following for both portfolios: \$500,000 initial investment prior to withdrawals invested 50% in the S&P 500 and 50% in the Barclays U.S. Aggregate Bond Index; with income taken each year, adjusted for a fixed, hypothetical 3.5% inflation and hypothetical 2% investment fee.

Note: The bond portion of the portfolio from 1973 through 1975 is represented by: 25% Citigroup Longterm High Grade Corporate Bond Index and 25% U.S. Government Bond File since the Barclays Aggregate Bond Index did not start until 1976. It is not possible to invest directly in an index. Standard & Poor's 500 Index (S&P 500) is comprised of 500 stocks representing major U.S. industrial sectors. Performance figures are inclusive of dividends reinvested. S&P 500 is a registered service mark of the McGraw-Hill Companies, Inc. Barclays Aggregate Bond Index is a market value-weighted index of investment-grade fixed-rate debt issues, including government, corporate, asset-backed and mortgage securities, with maturities of one year or more.

Year

Year

Age

CASE STUDY - PROTECT AGAINST SEQUENCE-OF-RETURN AND REVERSE DOLLAR-COST AVERAGING

drawn from Reverse Mortgage Line of Credit (LOC) drawn from Portfolio

- * Draws beginning Year 1 @ 5.5%
- ** Inflation @ 3.5%
- *** Principal & Interest & Mortgage Insurance Premium

	(draw from Reverse Mortgage LOC after Portfolio is drained)					
Amount in portfolio at beginning of year (before draw)	Historical Investment Performance	Draws* (at beginning of year) Adjusted for Inflation**	Amount in portfolio at end of year	RM P&I&MIP*** @ Year 30 (5% APR)		
\$500,000	-9.28%	\$27,500	\$428,652			
\$428,652	-15.51%	\$28,463	\$338,120			
\$338,120	22.30%	\$29,459	\$377,493			
\$377,493	17.87%	\$30,490	\$409,013			
\$409,013	-4.12%	\$31,557	\$361,905			
\$361,905	2.22%	\$32,661	\$336,552			
\$336,552	8.01%	\$33,805	\$326,998			
\$326,998	15.41%	\$34,988	\$337,009			
\$337,009	-1.36%	\$36,212	\$296,706			
\$206 7 06	25 249/	¢27.400	\$334 CEE			

Conventional i.e. Last Resort Method "John"

		\$447,954 Reverse Mortgage draws	Amount Remaining in Portfolio @ Years 25-30	\$538,773 Total RM Loan Balance @ Year 30 (P&I&MIP)***
\$0	-8.60%	\$74,576	\$0	\$78,305
\$0	-3.68%	\$72,055	\$0	\$79,440
\$0	-0.92%	\$69,618	\$0	\$80,591
\$0	7.79%	\$67,264	\$0	\$81,759
\$0	16.99%	\$64,989	\$0	\$82,944
\$0	19.25%	\$62,791	\$0	\$84,146
\$24,007	11.07%	24,007 + 36,661 = 60,668	\$0	\$51,586
\$77,718	25.68%	\$58,617	\$24,007	
\$136,559	-2.76%	\$56,634	\$77,718	
\$181,268	7.91%	\$54,719	\$136,559	
\$224,524	5.60%	\$52,869	\$181,268	
\$236,087	21.36%	\$51,081	\$224,524	
\$283,150	0.98%	\$49,354	\$236,087	
\$281,809	20.94%	\$47,685	\$283,150	
\$301,496	10.33%	\$46,072	\$281,809	
\$336,068	3.41%	\$44,514	\$301,496	
\$334,734	15.20%	\$43,009	\$336,068	
\$308,935	25.19%	\$41,554	\$334,734	
\$323,941	8.86%	\$40,149	\$308,935	
\$324,655	13.32%	\$38,791	\$323,941	
\$296,706	25.24%	\$37,480	\$324,655	
\$337,009	-1.36%	\$36,212	\$296,706	
\$326,998	15.41%	\$34,988	\$337,009	
\$336,552	8.01%	\$33,805	\$326,998	

-\$538,773 Net Barry Sacks, PhD Mary Jo Lafaye

New Wisdom Method "Jim" (draw from Reverse Mortgage LOC following down market) Historical Draws* (at beginning of Amount in portfolio Amount in year) Adjusted for RM P&I&MIP*** @ Investment portfolio at end of at beginning of year Year 30 (5% APR) (before draw) Performance Inflation** year -9.28% \$428,652 \$500,000 \$27,500 \$117,156 \$428,652 -15.51% \$28,463 \$362,168 22.30% \$29,459 \$442,932 \$115,482 \$362,168 \$442,932 17.87% \$30,490 \$486,145 \$486,145 -4.12% \$31,557 \$435,859 \$435,859 2.22% \$32,661 \$445,535 \$110,603 \$445,535 8.01% \$33,805 \$444,710 \$444,710 15.41% \$34,988 \$472,861 -1.36% \$472,861 \$36,212 \$430,710 \$430,710 25.24% \$539,422 \$104,417 \$37,480 13.32% \$539,422 \$38,791 \$567,314 \$567,314 8.86% \$40,149 \$573,872 \$573,872 25.19% \$41,554 \$666,408 \$666,408 15.20% \$43,009 \$718,156 3.41% \$718,156 \$44,514 \$696,613 10.33% \$46,072 \$717,742 \$696,613 \$717,742 20.94% \$47,685 \$810,367 \$810,367 0.98% \$49,354 \$768,472 \$768,472 21.36% \$51,081 \$870,625 \$870,625 5.60% \$52,869 \$863,551 7.91% \$872,810 \$863,551 \$54,719 \$872,810 -2.76% \$56,634 \$793,650 \$793,650 25.68% \$997,459 \$86,603 \$58,617 11.07% \$997,459 \$60,668 \$1,040,493 19.25% \$1,040,493 \$62,792 \$1,165,909 16.99% \$64,989 \$1,287,967 \$1,165,909 7.79% \$1,287,967 \$67,264 \$1,315,795 \$1,315,795 -0.92% \$1,234,712 \$69,618 \$1,234,712 -3.68% \$1,189,275 \$79,440 \$72,055 \$1,189,275 -8.60% \$74,577 \$1,086,997 \$78,305 Amount \$692,007 Remaining in Total RM Loan Balance \$333,310 Portfolio @ Year @ Year 30 30 Reverse Mortgage draws (P&I&MIP)***

Summary:

John runs out of money after 24 years while Jim takes income for 30 years and still has remaining assets. You can see how negative market returns early in retirement can substantially impact your portfolio.

Created By: Equity Access Corporation

\$933,764
Estate is in a better position with the Reverse Mortgage

\$394,991 Net